



**TEST REPORT** 

Rendered to:

## FORTRESS RAILING PRODUCTS

For:

**AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup>** Level Aluminum Guardrail

 Report No.:
 E8879.01-119-19

 Report Date:
 02/09/16

 Revision 1:
 08/24/18

 Test Record Retention Date:
 10/22/19





## **TEST REPORT**

# E8879.01-119-19 Revision 1: August 24, 2018

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 General Information	1
2.0 Referenced Standard	3
3.0 Assembly Fastener Testing	3
4.0 Structural Performance Testing of Assembled Railing Systems	5
5.0 Closing Statement	
Revision Log	15
Appendix A - Drawings	
Appendix B - Photographs	





### TEST REPORT

Rendered to:

## FORTRESS RAILING PRODUCTS 1720 North 1st Street Garland, Texas 75040

#### 1.0 General Information

#### 1.1 Product

8 ft by 36 in AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup> Level Aluminum Guardrail

#### **1.2 Project Description**

Architectural Testing, Inc., an Intertek company ("Intertek-ATI"), was contracted by Fortress Railing Products to perform structural performance testing on their 8 ft by 36 in *AVANT*<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup> level aluminum guardrail. The purpose of the testing is performance testing in accordance with the following criteria with the intention of not submitting for evaluation purposes:

ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273 (March 1, 2008 - Editorial Revised February 2014), Acceptance Criteria for Handrails and Guards

ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273 was developed by the ICC Evaluation Service, Inc. (ICC-ES<sup>™</sup>) as acceptance criteria to evaluate compliance with the following building codes:

2012 International Building Code®, International Code Council

2012 International Residential Code<sup>®</sup>, International Code Council





## 1.3 Limitations

All tests performed were to evaluate structural performance of the railing assembly to carry and transfer imposed loads to the supports (posts). The test specimen evaluated included the pickets, rails, rail brackets, aluminum posts (at one end only), and attachment to the supporting structure. The support posts (at one end only) were conventional construction and not within the scope of the evaluation. Conventional posts were therefore not a tested component and were included in the test specimen only to facilitate anchorage of the rail brackets. Anchorage of support posts to the supporting structure is not included in the scope of this testing and would need to be evaluated separately.

Testing is limited to satisfying the IRC - One- and Two-Family Dwellings requirements of ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273.

## 1.4 Qualifications

Intertek-ATI has demonstrated compliance with ISO/IEC International Standard 17025 and is consequently accredited as a Testing Laboratory (TL-144) by International Accreditation Service, Inc. (IAS). Intertek-ATI is accredited to perform all testing reported herein.

## **1.5 Product Description**

The AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup> guardrail system is comprised of aluminum rails, pickets, and posts. Test specimens consisted of one product color: Black. Drawings are included in Appendix A to verify the overall dimensions and other pertinent information of the tested product, its components, and any constructed assemblies.

## 1.6 Product Sampling

All materials used for testing were provided by Fortress Railing Products and were not independently sampled.

## 1.7 Witnessing

There were no witnesses from Fortress Railing Products present for testing conducted and reported herein.

## **1.8 Conditions of Testing**

Unless otherwise indicated, all testing reported herein was conducted in a laboratory set to maintain temperature in the range of  $68 \pm 4^{\circ}$ F and humidity in the range of  $50 \pm 5\%$  RH.





#### 2.0 Referenced Standard

ASTM D1761-12, Standard Test Methods for Mechanical Fasteners in Wood

## 3.0 Assembly Fastener Testing

Re: ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273 - Section 4.2.7

## 3.1 General

The purpose of this testing was to simulate a 90 degree bracket loading condition, which addresses a situation when the guardrail system is to be installed with the top rails in a corner condition.

## 3.2 Test Specimens

Short sections of the top rail were attached in accordance with Fortress Railing Products' installation instructions to short sections of posts. Specimens were assembled by an Intertek-ATI technician. Rail brackets were secured to the post and to the rail as described in Section 4.4 Fastening Schedule.

## 3.3 Test Setup

The testing machine was fitted with the post sections at the top and bottom to accommodate anchorage of the rail and brackets. The top post section was attached to the test machine's crosshead with a swivel mechanism, and the bottom post section was attached rigidly to the base of the test machine. Two specimens were tested in this manner with each of the two specimens including two connections for a total of four connections. See photograph in Appendix B for test setup.

## 3.4 Test Procedure

Testing was performed in accordance with ASTM D 1761 and by using a computer-monitored and -controlled SATEC Unidrive, Model MII 50 UD Universal Testing Machine. Tests were run at a crosshead speed of 0.05 in/min, and each specimen was tested in tension to its ultimate load capacity. Testing was conducted on October 22, 2015.





#### 3.5 Test Results

# AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup> Guardrail with Straight Bracket Attached to 4x4 Treated Wood Post

Sample No.	Ultimate Load (lb)	Deviation From Average	Mode of Failure
1	1442	9%	Bracket broke around rail to post
2	1197	-9%	fastener
Average	1320		
Allowable Capacity <sup>1</sup>	528	≥ 200 lb ∴ OK	

<sup>1</sup> Average ultimate load divided by a factor of safety of two and one-half (2.5)

## AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup> Guardrail with Collar Bracket Attached to Aluminum Post

Sample No.	Ultimate Load (lb)	Deviation From Average	Mode of Failure
1	1609	16%	Dracket failure
2	1174	-16%	Bracket failure
Average	1392		
Allowable Capacity <sup>1</sup>	557	≥ 200 lb ∴ OK	

<sup>1</sup> Average ultimate load divided by a factor of safety of two and one-half (2.5)

#### **3.6 Summary and Conclusions**

The maximum design load rating required for guardrail systems for use in IRC - One- and Two-Family Dwellings and for rail lengths up to and including 8 ft for use in IBC - All Use Groups is 200 lb. Therefore, fasteners / connectors reported herein meet the performance requirements of ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273 for use in corner conditions.





E8879.01-119-19 Page 5 of 15 Revision 1: 08/24/18

### 4.0 Structural Performance Testing of Assembled Railing Systems

Re: ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273 - Section 4.2.1

### 4.1 General

Railing assemblies were tested in a self-contained structural frame designed to accommodate anchorage of a rail assembly and application of the required test loads. The specimen was loaded using an electric winch mounted to a rigid steel test frame. High strength steel cables, nylon straps, and load distribution beams were used to impose test loads on the specimen. Applied load was measured using an electronic load cell located in-line with the loading system. Deflections were measured to the nearest 0.01 in using electronic linear displacement transducers.

#### 4.1 Railing Assembly Description

The AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress<sup>®</sup> guardrail system consisted of aluminum top and bottom rails with spaced pickets between the rail members. The railing systems had an overall top rail length (inside of post to inside of post) of 93-1/2 in with an overall rail height (top of top rail to bottom of bottom rail) of 32-1/2 in. Top and bottom rails attached to aluminum post mounts via metal straight brackets on one end and preservative treated 4x4 SYP wood post via metal straight brackets on the other end. One support block was utilized and was located at the midspan of the bottom rail. See Section 5.4 Fastening Schedule for connection details. See drawings in Appendix A and photographs in Appendix B for additional details.





## 4.2 Series / Model

The test specimen components were supplied by Fortress Railing Products and were assembled by a representative of Intertek-ATI.

<u>Top/Bottom Rail</u>: 1.30 in wide by 1.30 in high by 0.11 in wall "U"-shaped extruded 6063-T5 aluminum profile

- <u>Top Rail Cap</u>: 1.45 in wide by 1.37 in high by 0.07 in wall "U"-shaped extruded 6063-T5 aluminum profile
- <u>Straight Brackets</u>: 1.91 in wide by 1.62 in high by 1.26 in deep (0.20 in wall) cast aluminum cup bracket
- <u>Pickets</u>: 0.63 in square by 0.05 in wall by 31 in long extruded 6063-T5 aluminum with 3.88 in clear space between pickets pickets have welded connection points to top and bottom rails

Support Posts:

- Post: 2.5 in square by 0.16 in wall, 6063-T5 aluminum tube post welded to nominal 4.25 in square by 0.40 in thick base plate with four nominal 3/8 in diameter holes located approximately 15/32 in on-center in from each edge and approximately 3-5/16 in apart on-center and one 3/4 in diameter hole located in the center of the base plate a 1/4 in continuous fillet weld connected the tube to the base plate the base plate was attached to the surface of a rigid steel test surface (simulated concrete) as described in Section 4.4 Fastening Schedule.
  - <u>Wood Post</u>: Nominal 4x4 preservative treated, Grade No. 2, Southern Pine wood post in rigid vertical stanchions
- Support Block: 0.83 in square by 4.15 in long cast aluminum tube with integral 1.02 in by 0.83 in by 0.56 in high "U" profile at top end

See drawings in Appendix A and photographs in Appendix B for additional details.

#### 4.3 Fastening Schedule

Connection	Fastener		
Rail Bracket to Aluminum	Two #12-24 by 3/4 in flat-head, star drive,		
Post*	Type F thread cutting tip, sheet metal screws		
Pail Pracket to Wood Post	Two #12-10 by 2-1/2 in (0.157 in minor diameter)		
Rall Bracket to Wood Post	Type A point, flat-head, star drive, wood screws		
Pail Pracket to Pail**	One #12-24 by 3/4 in flat-head, star drive,		
	Type F thread cutting tip, sheet metal screws		
Support Block to Bottom Rail	Slip fit - No mechanical connection		
Post Mount to Substructure	Four 3/8 in Grade 5 hex-head bolts with washer		

\*3/16 in diameter pre-drill used

\*\* 3/16 in diameter pre-drill used, fastener located on the protected side of the rail





### 4.4 Test Setup

The railing assembly was installed and tested as a single railing section by directly securing (surface-mounting) the base of the aluminum post mounts to a rigid steel test frame. For the wood posts the railing assembly was installed and tested as a single railing section by directly securing the 4x4 treated wood posts (Southern Pine) to a rigid test frame, which rigidly restrained the rail system. The 4x4 treated wood posts were included only to facilitate anchorage of the test specimen and were not tested components. The railing was assembled by an Intertek-ATI technician. Transducers mounted to an independent reference frame were located to record movement of reference points on the railing system components (ends and mid-point) to determine net component deflections. See photographs in Appendix B for test setups.

#### 4.5 Test Procedure

Testing and evaluation was performed in accordance with Section 4.2.1 of ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273. The test specimen was inspected prior to testing to verify size and general condition of the materials, assembly, and installation. No potentially compromising defects were observed. One specimen was used for all load tests which were performed in the order reported. Each design load test was performed using the following procedure:

- 1. Zeroed transducers and load cell at zero load;
- 2. Increased load to specified test load in no less than ten seconds; and
- 3. Held test load for no less than one minute.

## 4.6 Test Results

Unless otherwise noted, all loads and displacement measurements were normal to the rail (horizontal). The test results apply only to the railing assembly between supports and anchorage to the support.

## Key to Test Results Tables:

#### Load Level: Target test load

<u>Test Load</u>: Actual applied load at the designated load level (target). Where more than one value is reported, the test load was the range (min. - max.) that was held during the time indicated in the test.

<u>Elapsed Time (E.T.)</u>: The amount of time into the test with zero established at the beginning of the loading procedure. Where more than one value is reported, the time was the range (start-end) that the designated load level was reached and sustained.





# 93-1/2 in by 36 in *AVANT<sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress*<sup>®</sup> Level Aluminum Guardrail Limited to Use in IRC – One- and Two-Family Dwellings / ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273

Specimen No. 1 of 3

Test No. 1 – Test Date: 10/19/15 Design Load: 50 lb / 1 Square ft of In-Fill at Center of Two Pickets				
Load Level	Test Load (lb)	Result		
125 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	125 – 129	00:22 - 01:26	Sustained load equal to or greater than 125 lb for one full minute without failure	

Test No. 2 – Test Date: 10/19/15 Design Load: 50 lb / 1 Square ft of In-Fill at Bottom of Two Pickets					
Load Level Test Load (lb) E.T. (min:sec) Result					
125 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	125 – 127	00:23 - 01:30	Sustained load equal to or greater than 125 lb for one full minute without failure		

Test No. 3 – Test Date: 10/19/15						
	Design Load: 2	00 lb Concentra	ted Load at	Mid-Span o	f Top Rail	
Lood Loval	Test Load	E.T.	E.T. Displacement (in)			
LOAU Level	(lb)	(min:sec)	End	Mid	End	Net <sup>1</sup>
200 lb (D.L.)	200	00:39	0.03	1.36	0.22	1.24
500 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	500 - 50301:10 - 02:14 <b>Result</b> : Withstood load equal to or greater than 500 lb for one full minute without failure					
$\frac{\text{Deflection Evaluation}}{\text{Maximum rail deflection at 200 lb} = 1.24 \text{ in on an 8 ft rail (93.5 in)}}$ $\text{Limits per AC273:} \left(\frac{h}{24} + \frac{l}{96}\right) = \left(\frac{36}{24} + \frac{93.5}{96}\right) = 2.47" > 1.24" \therefore ok \text{ and } \frac{h}{12} = \frac{36}{12} = 3.00" > 1.24" \therefore ok$						

<sup>1</sup> Each end displacement was measured at the center of the support. Net displacement was the rail displacement relative to the supports.





## Specimen No. 1 of 3 (Continued)

Test No. 4 – Test Date: 10/19/15				
Design Load	I: 200 lb Concen	trated Load at Bo	oth Ends of Top Rail (Brackets)	
Load Level <sup>1</sup>	Test Load (lb)	Result		
1000 lb (2.5 x D.L.) x 2	1000 – 1009	00:33 - 01:41	Each end withstood a load equal to or greater than 500 lb for at least one minute without failure	

<sup>1</sup> Load was imposed on both ends of rail using a spreader beam; therefore, loads were doubled.

Test No. 5 – Test Date: 10/19/15 Design Load: 200 lb Concentrated Load at Ten of Post Mount (26 in High)						
Load Level	Level (lb) (min:sec) Displacement (in)					
200 lb (D.L.)	200	00:23	0.38			
500 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	501 – 509	00:38 – 01:44 <b>Result</b> : Withstood load equal to or greater than 500 lb for one full minute without failure				
<u>Deflection Evaluation</u> : Maximum post deflection at 200 lb = 0.38 in on an 8 ft rail (93.5 in) Limits per AC273: $\left(\frac{h}{24} + \frac{l}{96}\right) = \left(\frac{36}{24} + \frac{93.5}{96}\right) = 2.47" > 0.38" \therefore ok \text{ and } \frac{h}{12} = \frac{36}{12} = 3.00" > 0.38" \therefore ok$						





# Specimen No. 2 of 3

Test No. 1 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 50 lb / 1 Square ft of In-Fill at Center of Two Pickets				
Load Level Test Load E.T. Result (lb)				
125 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	125 – 127	00:22 - 01:27	Sustained load equal to or greater than 125 lb for one full minute without failure	

Test No. 2 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 50 lb / 1 Square ft of In-Fill at Bottom of Two Pickets					
Load Level Test Load (lb) E.T. (min:sec) Result					
125 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	125 – 127	00:44 - 01:50	Sustained load equal to or greater than 125 lb for one full minute without failure		

Test No. 3 – Test Date: 10/20/15						
	Design Load: 20	00 lb Concentrat	ted Load at I	Mid-Span of	f Top Rail	
	Test Load	E.T.		Displace	ement (in)	
LUAU LEVEI	(lb)	(min:sec)	End	Mid	End	Net <sup>1</sup>
200 lb (D.L.)	202	00:37	0.01	1.29	0.23	1.17
500 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	500 - 50201:02 - 02:19 <b>Result</b> : Withstood load equal to or greater than 500 lb for one full minute without failure					
<u>Deflection Evaluation</u> : Maximum rail deflection at 202 lb = 1.17 in on an 8 ft rail (93.5 in) $\begin{pmatrix} h & l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 36 & 93.5 \end{pmatrix} = 1.17 = 1.17$						
Limits per AC273	$\left(\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{96}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{96}\right) = 2.47$	7">1.17"∴0	ok and — = 12	$\frac{12}{12} = 3.00">$	1.17"∴ <i>ok</i>

<sup>1</sup> Each end displacement was measured at the center of the support. Net displacement was the rail displacement relative to the supports.





## Specimen No. 2 of 3 (Continued)

Design Loa	Test No. 4 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 200 lb Concentrated Load at Both Ends of Top Rail (Brackets)						
Load Level <sup>1</sup>	Test Load <sup>2</sup> (lb)	E.T. (min:sec)	Result				
1000 lb (2.5 x D.L.) x 2	999 – 1011	01:02 – 02:19	Each end withstood a load equal to or greater than 500 lb for at least one minute without failure				

<sup>1</sup> Load was imposed on both ends of rail using a spreader beam; therefore, loads were doubled.

500 During the (2.5x D.L) x 2 hold, the load dropped below 1000 lb for a total of 1-1/2 seconds.

Test No. 5 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 200 lb Concentrated Load at Top of Post Mount (36 in High)					
Load Level	Test Load (lb)	E.T. (minːsec)	Displacement (in)		
200 lb (D.L.)	200	00:35	0.37		
500 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	500 – 503	01:05-02:14	<b>Result</b> : Withstood load equal to or greater than 500 lb for one full minute without failure		
$\frac{\text{Deflection Evaluation}}{\text{Deflection Evaluation}}:$ Maximum post deflection at 200 lb = 0.37 in on an 8 ft rail (93.5 in) Limits per AC273: $\left(\frac{h}{24} + \frac{l}{96}\right) = \left(\frac{36}{24} + \frac{93.5}{96}\right) = 2.47" > 0.37" \therefore ok \text{ and } \frac{h}{12} = \frac{36}{12} = 3.00" > 0.37" \therefore ok$					





# Specimen No. 3 of 3

Test No. 1 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 50 lb / 1 Square ft of In-Fill at Center of Two Pickets						
Load Level	Load Level (lb) (min:sec) Result					
125 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	125 – 127	00:30 - 01:38	Sustained load equal to or greater than 125 lb for one full minute without failure			

Test No. 2 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 50 lb / 1 Square ft of In-Fill at Bottom of Two Pickets					
Load Level	Load Level Test Load (lb) E.T. (min:sec) Result				
125 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	125 – 128	00:26 - 01:33	Sustained load equal to or greater than 125 lb for one full minute without failure		

Test No. 3 – Test Date: 10/20/15						
	Design Load: 20	00 lb Concentrat	ted Load at I	Mid-Span of	f Top Rail	
	Test Load	E.T.		Displace	ement (in)	
LOAD LEVEI	(lb)	(min:sec)	End	Mid	End	Net <sup>1</sup>
200 lb (D.L.)	200	00:37	0.02	1.27	0.20	1.16
500 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	500 - 50401:06 - 02:16 <b>Result</b> : Withstood load equal to or greater than 500 lb for one full minute without failure					
Deflection Evaluation:Maximum rail deflection at 200 lb = 1.16 in on an 8 ft rail (93.5 in) $(b = 1)$ $(26 = 03.5)$						
Limits per AC273	$\left(\frac{n}{24}+\frac{1}{96}\right)=\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{36}{24} + \frac{93.5}{96}\right) = 2.47$	7">1.16"∴o	ok and $\frac{n}{12} =$	$\frac{36}{12} = 3.00">$	1.16"∴ <i>ok</i>

<sup>1</sup> Each end displacement was measured at the center of the support. Net displacement was the rail displacement relative to the supports.





### Specimen No. 3 of 3 (Continued)

Design L	Test No. 4 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 200 lb Concentrated Load at Both Ends of Top Rail (Brackets)						
Load Level <sup>1</sup>	Load Level 1Test LoadE.T. (lb)Result						
1000 lb (2.5 x D.L.) x 2	1000 - 1014	00:50 - 01:55	Each end withstood a load equal to or greater than 500 lb for at least one minute without failure				

<sup>1</sup> Load was imposed on both ends of rail using a spreader beam; therefore, loads were doubled.

Test No. 5 – Test Date: 10/20/15 Design Load: 200 lb Concentrated Load at Top of Post Mount (36 in High)						
Load Level	Test Load (lb)	E.T. (min:sec)	Displacement (in)			
200 lb (D.L.)	200	00:19	0.40			
500 lb (2.50 x D.L.)	500 – 505	00:31 - 01:41	<b>Result</b> : Withstood load equal to or greater than 500 lb for one full minute without failure			
$\frac{\text{Deflection Evaluation:}}{\text{Maximum post deflection at 200 lb} = 0.40 \text{ in on an 8 ft rail (93.5 in)}}$ $\text{Limits per AC273:} \left(\frac{h}{24} + \frac{l}{96}\right) = \left(\frac{36}{24} + \frac{93.5}{96}\right) = 2.47" > 0.40" \therefore ok \text{ and } \frac{h}{12} = \frac{36}{12} = 3.00" > 0.40" \therefore ok$						

## 4.7 Summary and Conclusions

When installed between adequate supports, the railing assemblies reported herein meet the structural performance requirements of Section 4.2.1 of ICC-ES<sup>™</sup> AC273 for use in One- and Two-Family Dwellings (IRC).

Anchorage of support posts to the supporting structure is not included in the scope of this testing and would need to be evaluated separately.





#### 5.0 Closing Statement

Intertek-ATI will service this report for the entire test record retention period. Test records that are retained such as detailed drawings, datasheets, representative samples of test specimens, or other pertinent project documentation will be retained by Intertek-ATI for the entire test record retention period.

Results obtained are tested values and were secured using the designated test methods. This report does not constitute certification of this product nor an opinion or endorsement by this laboratory. It is the exclusive property of the client so named herein and relates only to the specimens tested. This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Intertek-ATI.

For INTERTEK-ATI:

Emily C. Riley Project Manager V. Thomas Mickley, Jr., P.E. Senior Project Engineer

ECR:vtm/jas

Attachments (pages): This report is complete only when all attachments listed are included. Appendix A – Drawings (10) Appendix B – Photographs (4)





## **Revision Log**

<u>Rev. #</u>	Date	Page(s)	Revision(s)
0	02/09/16	N/A	Original report issue
1	08/24/18	Throughout	Changed model name from <i>Designer's</i> Image to AVANT <sup>™</sup> Railing by Fortress®

This report produced from controlled document template ATI 00645, revised 04/07/15.





## APPENDIX A

Drawings

Architectural Testing Test sample complies with these details. Deviations are noted. Report # E8879.01 Date 2/4/16 Tech TWG 2374.90 mm 2362.00mm 33.00mm 824.96mm 755.96mm 93.00mm Support block located\_\_\_\_\_ @ vail wid-span 98.30mm 87.15mm 1 04/22/2014 DI Revised Panel Length 0 04/14/2014 DI Initial Drawing REV DATE BY DESCRIPTION All Dimensions are ± 0.5mm TITLE: Aluminum Railing System - Menard's Family: Railing Prod: Panel Class: RES This drawing and the information contained on this drawing are the property of Fortress Iron, LP Fortress Iron, LP, Richardson, TX, USA, and is not to be copied electronically or 1800 Jay Ell, Suite 200 Richardson, TX 75081 ORTRESS DRAWN BY: David Irick SCALE: manually, or reproduced in any manner, or divulged to other sources, without the DATE: 04/14/2014 As Shown -RAILING PRODUCTS-PART #: DWG NAME: expressed written permission of an authorized representative of Fortress Iron, LP. REV: THE FORTRESS Sheet: 1 OF 2 XXXXXXX Aluminum 8' Panel - Menard's 1 www.fortressrailing.com















ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	PARTOR SHEET NUMBER	QTY
1	I SUPPORT	Sheet 2	1

This drawing and the information contained on this drawing are the property of Fortress Iron, LP, Richardson, TX, USA, and is not to be copied electronically or manually, or reproduced in any manner, or divulged to other sources, without the expressed written permission of an authorized representative of Fortress Iron, LP.



and the second	Architectural
10 ac	enterioral lesting
Test sar	aple complies with these to a
	Deviations are noted
Report #_	E8079-01

0 05/03/2014 DI Initial Drawing REV DATE BY DESCRIPTION

TITLE: Menard's Aluminum Railing System Family: Railing Prod: Bracket Class: RES

I Support for Menard's Panels

SCALE:

As Shown

REV:

0

11		the state of the second state of the second state	
late Z/E	3116	· ·	Saio
	110	lech	COR

XXXXXXX

All Dimensions are ± 0.05mm

Fortress Iron, LP 1800 Jay Ell, Suite 200 Richardson, TX 75081 PART #: DWG NAME: Sheet: 1 OF 2

F







## **APPENDIX B**

Photographs







Photo No. 1 Assembly Fastener Test Setup (Aluminum Post)



Photo No. 2 Assembly Fastener Test Setup (Wood Post)







Photo No. 3 In-Fill Load Test at Center of Two Pickets



Photo No. 4 In-Fill Load Test at Bottom of Two Pickets







Photo No. 5 Concentrated Load Test at Mid-Span of Top Rail



Photo No. 6 Concentrated Load Test at Ends of Top Rail







Photo No. 7 Concentrated Load Test at Top of Post Mount